



Advocates for Workplace Fairness

December 27, 2021

Via ECF:

The Honorable Lorna G. Schofield
United States District Court
for the Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

Re: Ulku Rowe v. Google LLC, Case No. 19-cv-08655 (LGS)

Dear Judge Schofield:

We represent Plaintiff Ulku Rowe in the above-referenced matter. Plaintiff filed a letter on December 27, requesting that the court order Defendant to comply with the page requirements set forth in her Order and re-file its Reply/Opposition Memorandum and accompanying Motion to Seal in accordance with such rule. (ECF No. 191). In response, Defendant represented that it had inadvertently failed to comply with the Order and proposed that instead, Plaintiff's page limitation be expanded to 60 pages of briefing total and that Plaintiff's deadline should be extended by one week (ECF No. 192).

Defendant's proposal would not adequately remedy the issue. The Court issued this Order in advance of the Parties' briefing for good reason: to limit excessive briefing and prevent unnecessary taxing of the Parties' and the Court's resources. Defendant's failure to comply with the Court's Order - inadvertent or not - should not prejudice Plaintiff and unduly burden the Court. As such, the only fair remedy is to Order Defendant to re-file its Reply/Opposition Memorandum and accompanying Motion to Seal in accordance with such rule. Plaintiff maintains her proposal that the Court adopt the following schedule:

Defendant's deadline to re-file Reply in Further Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 184).	One (1) day following the Court's Order Directing Defendant to comply with the page limitations.
--	--



Advocates for Workplace Fairness

Defendant's Deadline to re-file its Motion to Seal and Memorandum of Law. (ECF Nos. 188-189).	One (1) day following the Court's Order Directing Defendant to comply with the page limitations.
Plaintiff's Deadline to file its Reply in Further Support of her Partial Motion for Summary Judgment.	Three (3) weeks following Defendant's Reply.
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Seal.	Ten (10) days following Defendant's Motion to Seal.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Cara E. Greene
Shira Z. Gelfand
Outten & Golden LLP
685 Third Avenue, 25th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Counsel for the Plaintiff

cc: All Parties (via ECF)